

Published on Web 03/05/2010

## Selective Insertion of Oxygen and Selenium into an Electron-Precise Paramagnetic Selenium–Manganese Carbonyl Cluster [Se<sub>6</sub>Mn<sub>6</sub>(CO)<sub>18</sub>]<sup>4–</sup>

Minghuey Shieh,\*,† Chia-Hua Ho,† Wen-Shyan Sheu,\*,‡ and Horng-Wen Chen†

Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei 116, Taiwan, Republic of China and Department of Chemistry, Fu-Jen Catholic University, Hsinchuang Taipei 242, Taiwan, Republic of China

Received October 28, 2009; E-mail: mshieh@ntnu.edu.tw; chem1013@mails.fju.edu.tw

O<sub>2</sub> activation by transition metal complexes is an attractive research topic from the standpoint of bioinorganic and synthetic chemistry.<sup>1,2</sup> Although the activation of O<sub>2</sub> by transition metal complexes is well-known, it is rare in the field of metal carbonyl complexes.<sup>1-3</sup> Chalcogenide derivatives of metal carbonyls characteristically exist as clusters, and this field has matured over the previous decades.<sup>1b,4</sup> The structures of these clusters, as well as their redox and substituted derivatives, can be predicted using simple electron-counting rules. While a relatively large number of manganese carbonyl clusters are known,<sup>5</sup> manganese carbonyl chalcogenide clusters are scarce, especially with regard to seleniummanganese carbonyl clusters.<sup>6,7</sup> In the present study, we describe a new family of manganese carbonyl selenide clusters, Mn-Se-CO anions, which deviate from well-established reactivity patterns. They exhibit unprecedented bonding properties, demonstrated by their magnetism, in which a novel electron-precise paramagnetic hexamanganese carbonyl selenide cluster  $[Se_6Mn_6(CO)_{18}]^{4-}$  (1) exhibits contrasting reactivity toward O2 and elemental selenium (Se8) under mild conditions to afford the O- and Se-inserted clusters  $[Se_6Mn_6(CO)_{18}(O)]^{4-}$  (2) and  $[Se_{10}Mn_6(CO)_{18}]^{4-}$  (3), respectively.

When Se<sub>8</sub> was treated with Mn<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub> at a molar ratio of 1:2.6 in concentrated KOH/MeOH solutions, the novel cluster  $[Se_6Mn_6(CO)_{18}]^{4-}$  (1) was formed in a moderate yield (Scheme 1). X-ray analysis showed that 1 was composed of two Se<sub>2</sub>Mn<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub> units that were linked by a  $\mu_4$ - $\eta^1$ , $\eta^1$ , $\eta^1$ , $\eta^1$ -Se<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup> ligand, in which three Mn atoms were capped above and below by two  $\mu_3$ -Se<sup>2-</sup> atoms with an inversion center located at the midpoint of the Se-Se bond (Figure 1a). While one of three Mn atoms of the Se<sub>2</sub>Mn<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub> unit exhibited a distorted octahedral geometry, the other two Mn centers were sevencoordinated with a direct Mn-Mn interaction. Cluster 1 can be considered a result of the coupling reaction of two  $[Se_2Mn_3(CO)_9]^-$  units and one  $Se_2^{2-}$  unit, which was confirmed by the reaction of  $[Se_2Mn_3(CO)_9]^{-6h}$  with a 1/8 equiv of Se<sub>8</sub> in a concentrated KOH/MeOH solution. Conversely, cluster 1 could be reconverted back to [Se<sub>2</sub>Mn<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub>]<sup>-</sup> upon treatment with [Cu(MeCN)<sub>4</sub>][BF<sub>4</sub>] in MeCN.

Differential pulse voltammetry measurement indicated that  $[Et_4N]_4[1]$  underwent two quasi-reversible oxidations at ~0.398 V ( $W_{1/2} = 212 \text{ mV}$ ), suggesting that 1 could be oxidized by two electrons. As a consequence, the reactivity of cluster 1 toward O<sub>2</sub> was investigated. Interestingly, 1 was found to react readily with 0.5 equiv of O<sub>2</sub> (see Supporting Information) in MeCN to give the O-inserted cluster [Se<sub>6</sub>Mn<sub>6</sub>(CO)<sub>18</sub>(O)]<sup>4-</sup> (2). X-ray analysis revealed that 2 consisted of two Se<sub>3</sub>Mn<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub> units bridged by an O atom (Figure 1b). The average Se–O distance of 2 was 1.99(1) Å which can be considered a normal single

bond (the sum of the covalent radii for Se and O is 1.90 Å), and the Se–O–Se bond angle was 106.2(3)°, which is indicative of the tetrahedral geometry around the O center. Cluster **2** evidently resulted from the insertion of an O atom into the Se–Se bond of **1**, which served as a two-electron reductant toward O<sub>2</sub>. The isomeric  $\eta^2$ -Se<sub>2</sub>O was observed in [Ir(Se<sub>2</sub>O)(dppe)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> from [Ir(Se<sub>2</sub>)(dppe)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> with peracetic acid.<sup>8</sup> Although thiolates and polysulfido complexes have been shown to undergo S-oxidation with O<sub>2</sub><sup>9</sup> and some dichalcogenido metal carbonyl complexes exhibited the oxidative addition of small organic molecules or metal fragments across the E–E bond,<sup>6a,10</sup> cluster **1** represents the first example of O<sub>2</sub> activation by the E–E bond (E = Se).

Scheme 1



In place of oxygen, selenium was also found to oxidize 1. Thus with either a 1/8 or a 1/2 equiv of Se<sub>8</sub> in MeCN, the Serich cluster  $[Se_{10}Mn_6(CO)_{18}]^{4-}$  (3) was produced (Scheme 1). As depicted in Figure 1c, cluster **3** possessed two ( $\mu_3$ - $Se_2_2Mn_3(CO)_9$  moieties that were bridged by a  $Se_2^{2-}$  unit with an inversion center located at the midpoint of the central Se-Se bond and gave a dumbbell-like conformation. The six Mn atoms in 3 were nonbonded (Mn ···· Mn, 3.665(3) to 4.244(3) Å) and held together by five  $\operatorname{Se_2}^{2-}$  units to give rise to three different bonding modes:  $\mu_4 - \eta^1, \eta^1, \eta^1, \eta^1 -; \mu_3 - \eta^1, \eta^1, \eta^1 -;$  and  $\mu_3 - \eta^1, \eta^1, \eta^2 Se_2^{2-}$ . The formation of **3** can be described as involving the insertion of Se atoms into the Se-Mn and Mn-Mn bonds of the Se<sub>2</sub>Mn<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub> fragments of 1, accompanied by the Se-Se bond formation. Cluster 3 could also be reconverted back to 1 by the addition of Mn<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub> in concentrated KOH/MeOH solutions.

Clusters 1 and 2 are both 104-electron species and cluster 3 is a 108-electron species, which all obey the 18-electron rule. Surprisingly, the magnetic measurements showed that  $[Et_4N]_4$ [1],  $[Et_4N]_4$ [2], and  $[Et_4N]_4$ [3] had effective magnetic moments,  $\mu_{eff} = 2.98$ , 2.47, and 2.79  $\mu_B$  at 300 K, respectively, which were close to the spin-only value ( $\mu_{eff} = 2.83 \ \mu_B$ ) predicted for a simple S = 1 species (Figure S1). The slow decrease of  $\mu_{eff}$  with the decreasing temperature was due to antiferromagnetic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan Normal University. <sup>‡</sup> Department of Chemistry, Fu-Jen Catholic University.



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of anion 1-3, showing 30% probability thermal ellipsoids.

interaction. Paramagnetic behavior of 1-3 was also shown by broadening of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals of their [Et<sub>4</sub>N]<sup>+</sup> salt.<sup>7b</sup> Although electron-precise, clusters 1-3 are paramagnetic, a rare property for metal carbonyl clusters.<sup>5c,7b,11,12</sup> Clusters 1-3represent the first examples of electron-precise paramagnetic main-group transition metal carbonyl clusters.

The formation of 2 can be related to the higher-energy SOMO of 1 (Figure S2a), which had a large component on the antibonding interaction of the p orbitals of the Se<sub>2</sub>-linkage. It is suggested that this orbital interacts with the  $\pi^*$  orbitals of the  $O_2$  molecule in its initial reaction with **1**. The insertion of the *p*-like orbital of one of two oxygen atoms into the Se–Se bond gave the O-bridged cluster 2. On the other hand, the lowerenergy SOMO of 1 received major contributions from the s and d orbitals of the Mn atoms and from the p orbital of the Se atoms of the two  $Se_2Mn_3(CO)_9$  units, in which the overlaps between the terminal Mn atom and the two nearby Se or Mn atoms were not significant (Figure S2b). Hence, it is postulated that this orbital is a reactive site for the reaction of cluster 1 with  $Se_8$  to give the Se-inserted cluster 3. Since we had no evidence for the Se analogue of 2, we calculated the relevant binding energies for 2 and its analogous Se-bridged cluster, which showed that the binding energy of 2 was stronger than its proposed Se-bridged cluster by more than 150 kcal/mol, which supports our experimental results. Furthermore, our calculations indicated that the unpaired electrons of 1 were significantly localized on both the terminal Mn and the central Se atoms. The central Se–O–Se fragment in 2 carried significant unpaired spin density (Figure S3).

In summary, an electron-precise, but paramagnetic, hexamanganese carbonyl selenide cluster  $[Se_6Mn_6(CO)_{18}]^{4-}$  (1) was prepared, which afforded a versatile synthon for the activation of O<sub>2</sub> and Se<sub>8</sub> under mild conditions. The selectivity and their bonding properties are further elucidated by theoretical calculations.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Science Council of Taiwan (NSC 95-2113-M-003-009-MY3 to M.S.). We are also grateful to the National Center for High-Performance Computing, where the Gaussian package and computer time were provided. Our gratitude also goes to the Academic Paper Editing Clinic, NTNU.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details for synthesis, characterization, and X-ray structure determinations (in CIF format) of  $[Et_4N]_4[1]$ ,  $[Et_4N]_4[2]$ , and  $[Et_4N]_4[3]$ . Computational details for 1-3. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## References

- (1) (a) Shriver, D. F.; Atkins, P. W.; Overton, T. L.; Rourke, J. P.; Weller, M. T.; Armstrong, F. A. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 4th ed.; Oxford University Press: New York, 2006; Chapter 26. (b) *Metal Clusters in Chemistry*; Braunstein, P., Oro, L. A., Raithby, P. R., Eds.; Wiley-VCH Publishers: Weinheim, 1999.
- (2) (a) Solomon, E. I.; Sarangi, R.; Woertink, J. S.; Augustine, A. J.; Yoon, J.; Ghosh, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 581. (b) Lewis, E. A.; Tolman, W. B. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 1047. (c) Lewiński, J.; Bury, W.; Dutkiewicz, M.; Maurin, M.; Justyniak, I.; Lipkowski, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 573.
- (3) (a) Schauer, C. K.; Harris, S.; Sabat, M.; Voss, E. J.; Shriver, D. F. *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, 5017. (b) Shieh, M.; Ho, L.-F.; Chen, P.-C.; Hsu, M.-H.; Chen, H.-L.; Guo, Y.-W.; Pan, Y.-W.; Lin, Y.-C. Organometallics **2007**, *26*, 6184. (c) Colombié, *A.*; Bonnet, J.-J.; Fompeyrine, P.; Lavigne, G.; Sunshine, S. Organometallics **1986**, *5*, 1154.
- (4) The Chemistry of Metal Cluster Complexes; Shriver, D. F., Kaesz, H. D., Adams, R. D., Eds.; Wiley-VCH Publishers: New York, 1990.
  (5) (a) Kong, G.; Harakas, G. N.; Whittlesey, B. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995,
- (5) (a) Kong, G.; Harakas, G. N.; Whittlesey, B. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 3502. (b) Clerk, M. D.; Zaworotko, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 1607. (c) Schatz, W.; Neumann, H.-P.; Nuber, B.; Kanellakopulos, B.; Ziegler, M. L. Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 453.
- (6) (a) Adams, R. D.; Kwon, O.-S.; Miao, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 183.
  (b) Adams, R. D.; Kwon, O.-S.; Smith, M. D. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 5322.
  (c) Adams, R. D.; Kwon, O.-S.; Smith, M. D. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 6281.
  (d) Adams, R. D.; Miao, S. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 665, 43. (e) Huang, S. D.; Lai, C. P.; Barnes, C. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 1854. (f) Fang, Z.-G.; Hor, T. S. A.; Mok, K. F.; Ng, S.-C.; Liu, L.-K.; Wen, Y.-S. Organometallics 1993, 12, 1009. (g) Alper, H.; Sibtain, F.; Einstein, F. W. B.; Willis, A. C. Organometallics 1985, 4, 604. (h) Huang, K.-C.; Tsai, Y.-C.; Lee, G.-H.; Peng, S.-M.; Shieh, M. Inorg. Chem. 1997, 36, 4421.
- (7) (a) O'Neal, S. C.; Pennington, W. T.; Kolis, J. W. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 3134. (b) Seidel, R.; Schnautz, B.; Henkel, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 1710.
- (b) (55, 171).
   (c) Hoots, J. E.; Lesch, D. A.; Rauchfuss, T. B. *Inorg. Chem.* 1984, 23, 3130.
   (g) (a) Woggon, W.-D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 127. (b) Kovacs, J. A. Chem.
- (9) (a) woggoi, w.-D. Acc. Chem. Kes. 2005, 55, 127. (b) Rovaes, J. A. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 825. (c) Grapperhaus, C. A.; Darensbourg, M. Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 451. (d) Begum, R. A.; Farah, A. A.; Hunter, H. N.; Lever, A. B. P. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 2018. (e) Lee, Y.; Lee, D.-H.; Sarjeant, A. A. N.; Karlin, K. D. J. Inorg. Biochem. 2007, 101, 1845. (f) Chohan, B. S.; Maroney, M. J. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 1906. (g) Matsumoto, K.; Koyama, T.; Koide, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 10913.
- Koyama, T.; Koide, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 10913.
   (10) (a) Mathur, P.; Chatterjee, S.; Torubaev, Y. V. J. Clust. Sci. 2007, 18, 505. (b) Mathur, P.; Chakrabarty, D.; Hossain, Md. M.; Rashid, R. S.; Rugmini, V.; Rheingold, A. L. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 1106. (c) Mathur, P.; Hossain, Md. M.; Das, K.; Sinha, U. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 46. (d) Mathur, P.; Hossain, Md. M.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Nixon, J. F. Organometallics 1995, 14, 3101.
- (11) (a) Riccò, M.; Shiroka, T.; Carretta, S.; Bolzoni, F.; Femoni, C.; Iapalucci, M. C.; Longoni, G. Chem.-Eur. J. 2005, 11, 2886. (b) Johnson, D. C.; Benfield, R. E.; Edwards, P. P.; Nelson, W. J. H.; Vargas, M. D. Nature 1985, 314, 231. (c) Albano, V. G.; Grossi, L.; Longoni, G.; Monari, M.; Mulley, S.; Sironi, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 5708. (d) Sinzig, J.; de Jongh, L. J.; Ceriotti, A.; della Pergola, R.; Longoni, G.; Stener, M.; Albert, K.; Rösch, N. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1998, 81, 3211. (e) Benfield, R. E.; Edwards, P. P.; Stacy, A. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 525. (f) Teo, B. K.; DiSalvo, F. J.; Waszczak, J. V.; Longoni, G.; Ceriotti, A. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 2262.
- (12) (a) Čador, O.; Cattey, H.; Halet, J.-F.; Meier, W.; Mugnier, Y.; Wachter, J.; Saillard, J.-Y.; Zouchoune, B.; Zabel, M. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 501.
  (b) Adams, R. D.; Miao, S.; Smith, M. D.; Farach, H.; Webster, C. E.; Manson, J.; Hall, M. B. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 2515. (c) Shieh, M.; Chung, R.-L.; Yu, C.-H.; Hsu, M.-H.; Ho, C.-H.; Peng, S.-M.; Liu, Y.-H. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 5477. (d) Shieh, M.; Chen, H.-S.; Yang, H.-Y.; Lin, S.-F.; Ueng, C.-H. Chem.—Eur. J. 2001, 7, 3152.

JA9091566